

Building Performance Simulation for Design and Operation

Effective building performance simulation can reduce the environmental impact of the built environment, improve indoor quality and productivity, and facilitate future innovation and technological progress in construction. It draws on many disciplines, including physics, mathematics, material science, biophysics, human behavioural, environmental and computational sciences. The discipline itself is continuously evolving and maturing, and improvements in model robustness and fidelity are constantly being made. This has sparked a new agenda focusing on the effectiveness of simulation in building life cycle processes.

Building Performance Simulation for Design and Operation begins with an introduction to the concepts of performance indicators and targets, followed by a discussion on the role of building simulation in performance based building design and operation. This sets the ground for in-depth discussion of performance prediction for energy demand, indoor environmental quality (including thermal, visual, indoor air quality and moisture phenomena), HVAC and renewable system performance, urban level modelling, building operational optimization and automation.

Produced in cooperation with the International Building Performance Simulation Association (IBPSA), this book provides a unique and comprehensive overview of building performance simulation for the complete building life-cycle from conception to demolition. It is primarily intended for advanced students in building services engineering, and in architectural, environmental or mechanical engineering; and will be useful for building and systems designers and operators.

Building Performance Simulation for Design and Operation

Edited by Jan L.M. Hensen and
Roberto Lamberts



Spon Press

an imprint of Taylor & Francis

LONDON AND NEW YORK

Published 2011 by Spon Press
2 Park Square, Milton Park, Abingdon, Oxon OX14 4RN

Simultaneously published in the USA and Canada by Spon Press
270 Madison Avenue, New York, NY 10016, USA

Spon Press is an imprint of the Taylor & Francis Group, an informa business

© 2011 Jan L.M. Hensen and Roberto Lamberts for selection and editorial matter;
individual chapters, the contributors.

Typeset in Goudy by Swales & Willis Ltd, Exeter, Devon
Printed and bound in Great Britain by CPI Antony Rowe, Chippenham, Wiltshire

All rights reserved. No part of this book may be reprinted or reproduced
or utilised in any form or by any electronic, mechanical, or other means,
now known or hereafter invented, including photocopying and recording,
or in any information storage or retrieval system, without permission in
writing from the publishers.

This publication presents material of a broad scope and applicability. Despite stringent
efforts by all concerned in the publishing process, some typographical or editorial errors
may occur, and readers are encouraged to bring these to our attention where they
represent errors of substance. The publisher and author disclaim any liability, in whole
or in part, arising from information contained in this publication. The reader is urged to
consult with an appropriate licensed professional prior to taking any action or making
any interpretation that is within the realm of a licensed professional practice.

British Library Cataloguing in Publication Data
A catalogue record for this book is available
from the British Library

Library of Congress Cataloging in Publication Data
Building performance simulation for design and operation/edited Jan L.M. Hensen
and Roberto Lamberts.

p. cm.

Includes bibliographical references.

1. Buildings—Performance—Computer simulation. I. Hensen, Jan L.M.

II. Lamberts, Roberto.

TH453.B875 2011

690'.22—dc22

2010021030

ISBN13: 978-0-415-47414-6(hbk)

ISBN13: 978-0-203-89161-2(ebk)

Contents

<i>List of figures</i>	vii
<i>List of tables</i>	xv
<i>List of contributors</i>	xvii
<i>Foreword</i>	xx
JOE CLARKE	
<i>Preface</i>	xxii
1 Introduction to building performance simulation	1
JAN L.M. HENSEN AND ROBERTO LAMBERTS	
2 The role of simulation in performance based building	15
GODFRIED AUGENBROE	
3 Weather data for building performance simulation	37
CHARLES S. BARNABY AND DRURY B. CRAWLEY	
4 People in building performance simulation	56
ARDESHIR MAHDAVI	
5 Thermal load and energy performance prediction	84
JEFFREY D. SPITLER	
6 Ventilation performance prediction	143
JELENA SREBRIC	
7 Indoor thermal quality performance prediction	180
CHRISTOPH VAN TREECK	
8 Room acoustics performance prediction	218
ARDESHIR MAHDAVI	
9 Daylight performance predictions	235
CHRISTOPH REINHART	
10 Moisture phenomena in whole building performance prediction	277
JAN CARMELIET, BERT BLOCKEN, THIJS DEFRAEYE AND DOMINIQUE DEROME	
11 HVAC systems performance prediction	312
JONATHAN WRIGHT	

12	Micro-cogeneration system performance prediction	341
	IAN BEAUSOLEIL-MORRISON	
13	Building simulation for practical operational optimization	365
	DAVID E. CLARIDGE	
14	Building simulation in building automation systems	402
	GREGOR P. HENZE AND CHRISTIAN NEUMANN	
15	Integrated resource flow modelling of the urban built environment	441
	DARREN ROBINSON	
16	Building simulation for policy support	469
	DRURY B. CRAWLEY	
17	A view on future building system modeling and simulation	481
	MICHAEL WETTER	
	<i>Index</i>	505

List of figures

1.1	Dynamic interactions of sub-systems in buildings	2
1.2	Virginia Tech Lumenhaus	3
1.3	Deutsche Bank headquarters in Frankfurt am Main during refurbishment	4
1.4	Technology hype cycle for building performance simulation	5
1.5	Current use of performance simulation in practical building design	6
1.6	Output visualization of adaptive thermal comfort predictions for a medium-heavy office building	7
1.7	Sample airflow and cooling load simulation results for an office development	7
1.8	Sample comfort, cooling load and energy simulation results for a low-energy office building	8
1.9	Attitude and expectations regarding simulation tools	9
1.10	Variability in predicted gas use for space heating	10
1.11	Potential error in performance prediction vs. model complexity/level of detail	11
2.1	Performance testing requires a (virtual) experiment	17
2.2	Top-down functional decomposition and bottom-up assembly of building systems	18
2.3	Relationship among concepts in the performance approach	20
2.4	Aspects systems are performance criterion specific aggregations over technical systems	21
2.5	Deterministic versus probabilistic performance outcomes	24
2.6	Aspect systems	26
2.7	Normalized results	27
2.8	Aspect systems	28
2.9	Normalized results	29
2.10	Aspect systems	30
2.11	Normalized results	31
3.1	One minute temperature, wind, and solar radiation observations	39
3.2	Example TMY3 format data	42
3.3	Cumulative distribution functions for June global horizontal solar radiation	43
3.4	End-use energy predictions for 550-m ² office building	44
3.5	World Radiation Data Center measured daily global radiation vs. modeled	45
3.6	Histogram of differences in 99.6% heating dry bulb design temperature between 1997–2006 and 1977–1986	50
3.7	Change in annual average temperature relative to 1990 as predicted by several GCM models	51
4.1	Heat transfer rate of human body as a function of activity and the ambient (operative) temperature	58
4.2	Measured reverberation times in a concert hall under empty and occupied conditions	59

4.3	Example of an occupancy load model as adapted in a commercially available simulation application	59
4.4	Probability of switching the lights on at arrival in the office	61
4.5	Percentage of blinds closed for SSW façade in relation to the vertical solar irradiance	62
4.6	Mean occupancy level for a reference work day in VC, ET, FH, UT, and HB	65
4.7	Observed occupancy levels in seven different offices in FH for a reference work day	66
4.8	Mean and standard deviation of occupancy for a reference work day in UT	66
4.9	Lighting operation in VC, FH, UT, and HB offices for a reference work day	67
4.10	Lighting operation in relation to mean occupancy	67
4.11	Mean effective electrical lighting power in UT averaged for all zones plotted against external global horizontal irradiance	68
4.12	Probability of switching the lights on upon arrival in the office	69
4.13	Normalized relative frequency of “switch on” actions in UT as a function of ceiling illuminance	69
4.14	Normalized relative frequency of “switch on” actions as a function of vertical illuminance	70
4.15	Probability of switching the lights off as a function of the duration of absence from the offices in VC, FH, and HB	70
4.16	Mean shade deployment degree as a function of global vertical irradiance incident on the façade	71
4.17	Normalized relative frequency of opening and closing actions over the course a reference day	72
4.18	Normalized relative frequency of opening and closing windows as a function of indoor temperature	72
4.19	Normalized relative frequency of opening and closing windows as a function of outdoor temperature	73
4.20	Relative frequency of window opening and closing actions as a function of the difference between indoor air temperature and neutrality temperature	73
4.21	Probability of opening the windows upon arrival in the office and closing windows upon leaving the office as a function of indoor temperature	74
4.22	State of windows plotted against indoor temperature	75
4.23	State of windows plotted against outdoor temperature	75
4.24	State of windows as a function of the difference between indoor air temperature and neutrality temperature	76
4.25	Proposed mean occupancy input model for building performance simulation applications	77
4.26	Proposed light switch on probability model	77
4.27	Proposed light switch off probability model	78
4.28	Proposed dependency model of shades deployment level	78
4.29	Comparison of the general shade deployment model’s predictions	79
4.30	Schematic illustration of multiple-coupled models for occupancy, building, and context	80
5.1	A single-layer plane wall	86
5.2	A multi-layer wall	87
5.3	Multi-layer wall analysis based on electrical analogy	88
5.4	Electrical analogy with distributed thermal capacitance	90
5.5	Comparison of transient and quasi-steady-state conduction heat gain calculations	90
5.6	South-facing zone	112
5.7	Opaque surface heat balance	114
5.8	Exterior surface steady-state heat balance	115

5.9	Heat balance for a double-pane window	121
5.10	Lighting heat gain and the resulting cooling load for a conference room	130
6.1	(a) Four-storey office building and (b) a representation of the first floor in a multi-zone airflow network simulation tool	147
6.2	Instantaneous characteristics of air velocities (a) and temperatures (b) in a room with a square ceiling diffuser measured at two different heights	151
6.3	(a) An example office, and (b) its simplified model for CFD	155
6.4	Velocity distribution at the near wall region	156
6.5	The comparison of CFD, multi-zone airflow network (ContamW) and coupled CFD-contamW model results	160
6.6	Normalized local age of air and contaminant concentration distributions	162
6.7	An example of CFD calculated wind velocities to support site planning and promote natural ventilation	165
6.8	A CFD example showing air velocities used in floor planning and layout of internal partitions for a naturally ventilated apartment	165
6.9	Jet zones and characteristic velocity profiles in log-log plot	167
6.10	Development of the wall jet in front of the displacement diffuser	168
6.11	An example of simplified boundary condition	169
6.12	Computational fluid dynamics simulation results for the displacement ventilation (a) velocities, and (b) temperatures	170
6.13	Layout of the painting studio during art classes	171
6.14	Contaminant concentrations in the breathing plane for the existing and modified mixing ventilation	172
6.15	The floor plan of the test house and multi-zone airflow network model	176
6.16	Measured vs. computed SF ₆ concentrations	177
6.17	The layout of the displacement ventilation simulated and tested in the chamber	178
6.18	Comparison of (a) the velocity profiles, and (b) the temperature profiles at nine positions in the room	179
7.1	Thermal manikin measuring resultant surface temperature values	181
7.2	Example of a thermal flow analysis in an aeroplane passenger cabin	183
7.3	Flow analysis in an open train carriage	184
7.4	(a) Local thermal sensation with respect to set-point deviation (from thermal neutrality) and thermal state of the whole body	186
7.4	(b) Local thermal comfort related to the set point deviation	186
7.5	Different relationships for PMV and PPD	190
7.6	Example of a comfort zone for 90 per cent acceptability in terms of equivalent temperatures	193
7.7	Virtual thermal manikin exposed to transient conditions and subject to changes of the ambient conditions and clothing insulation over time	194
7.8	(a) Qualitative behavior of the observed and predicted indoor comfort temperatures	196
7.8	(b) The same but for naturally ventilated (NV) buildings	196
7.9	Psychrometric chart (Mollier chart) showing summer and winter comfort envelopes	199
7.10	(a) Acceptable conditions for naturally ventilated buildings	200
7.10	(b) ATC design values for the three categories of EN ISO 15251 (2007)	200
7.11	Daily minimum and maximum hourly operative room temperatures plotted against the daily average ambient air temperatures	203
7.12	Daily minimum and maximum hourly operative room temperatures plotted against the exponentially weighted running average outdoor temperatures for the comfort envelope of the EN ISO 15251 (2007)	204

7.13	Daily minimum and maximum hourly operative room temperatures plotted against the weighted running average outdoor temperatures	204
7.14	Deviation in [K] of the daily maximum operative temperatures from the upper band of category B	205
7.15	Averaged velocity field on a vertical plane, vertical temperature profile and draught risk	206
7.16	Skin and surface temperatures simulated using the human thermoregulation model FIALA-FE	207
7.17	A virtual climate chamber displaying the change in the thermal and physiological properties over time	208
7.18	(a) Comparison of simulation and experiment of dynamic thermal responses under transient conditions	209
7.18	(b) Display of the metabolic rate	209
7.19	Simulation approaches and required resolution of relevant performance indicators with respect to the interplay between different design stages	210
8.1	Schematic illustration of first and second order image sources	220
8.2	Mean relative error and respective standard deviations of simulated frequency-dependent reverberation times	227
8.3	Measured vs. simulated reverberation times	228
8.4	Mean differences between measured and frequency-dependent sound pressure levels	228
8.5	Measured vs. simulated sound pressure levels	229
8.6	Evaluation means based on real and auralized spaces	231
9.1	Results from a recent survey on the role of daylighting in sustainable design	236
9.2	Elements needed for a daylight performance simulation	238
9.3	Basic geometric model of a new building site with surrounding objects	239
9.4	Two applications for a massing model of an urban site	240
9.5	Daylight falling onto a site is usually divided into direct sunlight and diffuse daylight	242
9.6	Definition of solar azimuth and altitude angles	242
9.7	Sun chart diagram for Boston with a shading mask for a south-facing wall	243
9.8	Angles defining the position of the sun and a sky element	244
9.9	Sky luminous distribution over Boston on April 2 at noon	246
9.10	Calibrated high dynamic range photograph generated with a digital camera, a luminance meter and Photosphere	247
9.11	Radiance visualization of an office work place	248
9.12	Daylight factor calculation in a sidelit space in Autodesk Ecotect v5.6	249
9.13	Principle of the BRE split flux method	249
9.14	Principle of a backwards raytracer	250
9.15	(a) Division of the celestial hemisphere into disjoint sky segment; (b) Visual definition of a daylight coefficient	253
9.16	Calculated mean daylight factors of the sidelit space from Figure 9.12	255
9.17	Mean daylight factor calculated with Radiance versus the mean daylight factor according to the Lynes formula	257
9.18	The daylight factor defined	259
9.19	(a) Visualization of an example sidelit space; (b) daylight factor distribution in the space	260
9.20	Indoor illuminance distribution in the sidelit space from 9.19 under clear sky conditions on solar solstice and equinox days	261
9.21	DA and three UDI for the sidelit space from Figure 9.19	262
9.22	Temporal map of the point marked in the DA diagram in Figure 9.21	263
9.23	Radiance visualizations and associated DPGs	265

9.24	Annual cumulated DGP profile	265
9.25	Annual DGP profiles and DA distributions	266
9.26	Illustration of the office room and surrounding area	274
9.27	Definition of the sky angle, θ , for the investigated space	275
9.28	Daylight factor distribution for the space facing south and north	276
9.29	Daylight autonomy distribution for the space facing south and north	276
10.1	Input data for evaluating moisture buffering in a room	280
10.2	The variation of the relative humidity inside the room	281
10.3	Royal Festival Hall, London, after the building completion and after a few years	282
10.4	Schematic representation of the two parts in wind-driven rain research	282
10.5	Perspective, front and top view of raindrop trajectories	284
10.6	Spatial distribution of the ratio S_{wdr}/S_h for a given rain event	284
10.7	Four of the building configurations for which the wind-blocking effect was investigated	286
10.8	Contours of the streamwise horizontal wind-velocity component	286
10.9	Contours of the wind-driven rain catch ratio	287
10.10	Catch ratio at the top corner of the windward facade	288
10.11	Model for numerical analysis with indication of boundary conditions	291
10.12	Comparison between HAM and CHAM at different positions on the exterior wall surface	292
10.13	Variation of the inside relative humidity	295
10.14	Moisture buffering design (MBD) curves	297
10.15	Water damage to Hunting Lodge St. Hubertus	298
10.16	Building models and surface grids used for calculation of the wind-flow pattern and wind-driven rain	299
10.17	Spatial distribution of the catch ratio	299
10.18	The moisture production rate	307
10.19	Model for numerical analysis	309
10.20	Variation of the inside relative humidity	310
10.21	(a) $CHTC_x$ as a function of the distance along the plate; (b) $CHTC_{AVG}$ as a function of U_∞	311
11.1	HVAC component model structure	314
11.2	Air-mixing duct section	315
11.3	Centrifugal fan performance curves	316
11.4	Centrifugal fan non-dimensional performance curves	317
11.5	Rectangular duct friction loss model	319
11.6	Heating coil sub-system	320
11.7	Heating coil model	321
11.8	Three-port valve model	322
11.9	Proportional controller model	323
11.10	Heating coil and controller linking variables	323
11.11	Heating coil sub-system information flow diagram	324
11.12	Heating coil sub-system information flow diagram for successive substitution	326
11.13	Heating coil sub-system successive substitution pseudo-code	326
11.14	Newton-Raphson pseudo-code	328
11.15	HVAC system condition monitoring scheme	330
11.16	Information flow diagram for sequential equation solution	331
11.17	Optimization process	332
11.18	Input-output centrifugal fan model	337
11.19	Normalised fan performance curves	337
11.20	Pseudo-code for the friction factor successive approximation	338

11.21	Comparison of estimated (iterated) and calculated friction factor	339
11.22	Successive approximation convergence	339
12.1	Space heating and electrical demands of a house over a typical spring day	342
12.2	One possible system configuration of a SOFC micro-cogeneration device	344
12.3	Topology of FC micro-cogeneration model	346
12.4	Gas-to-water heat exchanger in FC micro-cogeneration model	347
12.5	Calibration of Equation (12.4) using experimental data	353
12.6	Configuration of SOFC micro-cogeneration heating plant	354
12.7	Monthly integrated electrical balance	355
12.8	Monthly integrated thermal balance	356
12.9	Comparison of annual results for three dispatch strategies	357
12.10	HVAC configuration for Assignment 1	360
12.11	Building electrical demand for Assignment 3	361
12.12	ϵ_{el} calibration for Assignment 2	363
12.13	Electrical conversion efficiency and thermal output results for Assignment 3	363
13.1	Simulated and measured heating usage in Harrington Tower for 2003	375
13.2	Simulated and measured daily heating usage for Harrington Tower	375
13.3	Example of calibration signatures	376
13.4	Characteristic signatures for a dual duct VAV system in Harrington Tower	377
13.5	Flowchart detailing the overall process of calibration	379
13.6	Harrington Tower on the Texas A&M University campus	380
13.7	Comparison of initial simulated and “measured” heating and cooling consumption values	381
13.8	Initial calibration signatures and relevant characteristic signatures	381
13.9	Calibration signatures and characteristic signatures for decreasing the U-value	382
13.10	Calibration signatures for Example #1 after calibration	382
13.11	Measured and initial simulated heating and cooling consumption as functions of average daily temperature	383
13.12	Initial calibration signatures and characteristic signatures for adding an economizer	384
13.13	Calibration signatures after economizer was added	384
13.14	Final calibration signatures	385
13.15	Measured and calibrated simulated heating and cooling consumption as functions of average daily temperature	386
13.16	Schematic diagram of single duct air handling units	387
13.17	Schematic diagram of dual duct air handling unit for library section	388
13.18	Schematic diagram of cooling only single duct air handling unit	388
13.19	Measured hourly heating and cooling energy consumption versus the ambient temperature	389
13.20a	Comparison of baseline and measured cooling energy consumption	389
13.20b	Comparison of baseline and measured heating energy consumption	389
13.21	Measured heating and cooling energy consumption after meter correction	390
13.22	Comparison of measured cooling energy consumption before and after repair of leaky pneumatic lines and implementation of optimal reset schedule	391
13.23	Comparison of measured heating energy consumption before and after repair of leaky pneumatic lines and implementation of optimal reset schedule	391
13.24	Sbisa Dining Hall	392
13.25	Time series and OA temperature dependent plots used to calibrate the Sbisa Dining Hall simulation	393
13.26	Measured and simulated chilled water energy consumption	394
13.27	Cumulative cost difference	394
13.28	CHW % difference plot of 9.55 GJ/day	395

13.29	Electric consumption for two 10 week periods 1 year apart	395
13.30	Jan.–Aug. 2006 average daily dew point temperature in College Station, TX	396
13.31	Building on the Texas A&M campus that is to be simulated in the ‘Activity’	399
14.1	Examples of model-based building control	404
14.2	Process for fault detection and optimization in building operation	405
14.3	Model and validation levels	406
14.4	General structure of a model	406
14.5	Schematic of model calibration	408
14.6	Zoning of a TWH heater chamber	410
14.7	Section through an individual heater chamber (not to scale)	411
14.8	Zoning of heater chamber relative to heater element and ambient	411
14.9	RC network for construction element	412
14.10	Block model of the complete prototype TWH assembly	415
14.11	Block model of an individual TWH chamber	415
14.12	Initial parameter estimation result for training data set	416
14.13	Generalization result of initial estimated model to unseen testing data	417
14.14	Structure of an ARX model	420
14.15	Gray box models that subsume models with mixed characteristics are combined into one overall model of different parts	421
14.16	Gray box model that enhances a white box model using a black model for higher-order error correction	421
14.17	Gray box model created by using a white box model to produce a black box model	422
14.18	Process structure for offline building optimization	424
14.19	Process structure for online optimization	425
14.20	Real-time predictive optimal control schematic	426
14.21	Results of natural ventilation optimizations	431
14.22	Wholesale hourly electric price and demand profile in response to volatile price signal	432
14.23	Model-based control system context diagram	432
14.24	Schematic of closed-loop model-based optimal control	433
14.25	Equivalent thermal network for multilayer construction element	437
14.26	State space model simulation block	438
14.27	State space model parameter dialog form	439
14.28	Simulation program to evaluate the thermal response of high-mass and low-mass construction elements	440
14.29	Sample thermal response of high-mass and low-mass construction	440
15.1	(a) Irradiation distribution, and (b) thresholded	444
15.2	Cumulative annual solar irradiation distributions for three Swiss city districts	444
15.3	Conceptual structure of SUNtool	448
15.4	Monozone form of the CitySim thermal model	449
15.5	Interzonal connection between zones represented by the two-node thermal model	450
15.6	Fraction of land use classed as urban, and cooling energy demands for the city of Basel	455
15.7	Optimisation of tower height for solar irradiation utilisation	459
15.8	(a) An urban block with zones of different protection status, and (b) falsecoloured according to incident annual shortwave irradiation	459
15.9	Cumulative sky radiance distribution for Geneva: (a) global, and (b) diffuse	467
16.1	Percentage energy use by sector for the world and selected countries	472
17.1	Modeling vs. simulation	482

17.2	Comparison between procedural, equation-based and actor-oriented component models	485
17.3	Representation of heat flow models with feedback control	487
17.4	Schematic view of a building system model in Modelica	491
17.5	Relative reduction in labor time and code size for equation-based vs. procedural programming languages	493
17.6	Schematic diagram of an HVAC system	495
17.7	Parametric plot of the normalised source energy consumption for cooling and lighting	499
17.8	Ptolemy II graphical user interface	500

List of tables

2.1	Case 1 Criteria and performance indicators	26
2.2	Case 2 Criteria and performance indicators	28
2.3	Ranking of owner's needs	29
2.4	Project E criteria and performance indicators	30
3.1	Weather data items of use for building simulation	41
4.1	Sound absorption of unoccupied and occupied seating areas	58
4.2	Summary information on selected office buildings	63
4.3	Summary of the monitored indoor and outdoor parameters with the associated units	64
4.4	The structure of the collected data	64
4.5	Measuring range and accuracy of the sensors	64
5.1	Layer descriptions for Example 5.4	94
5.2	Conduction transfer function coefficients for Example 5.4	94
5.3	Exterior and interior surface temperatures for Example 5.4	94
5.4	Heat fluxes at the interior surface	95
5.5	Convection correlation coefficients for the Yazdaniyan and Klems (1994) model	98
5.6	Interior surface convection coefficients for use with the heat balance model	99
5.7	Solar absorptances	103
5.8	Window optical properties and SHGC	104
5.9	Surfaces of room in Example 5.7	112
5.10	Radiation example intermediate results	113
5.11	Weather conditions and previous hour	119
5.12	Zone surface temperatures and convection coefficients	127
5.13	Other convective heat gains	127
5.14	System heat transfer rate	128
5.15	System control profile	128
5.16	Layer descriptions for EIFS wall	139
5.17	Conduction transfer function coefficients for EIFS wall	139
5.18	Wall surface temperatures	139
5.19	Incident solar radiation on vertical walls	140
5.20	Outdoor air temperatures	141
5.21	Solar radiation incident on a southeast-facing surface in Atlanta, July 21	142
6.1	Wind pressure coefficients C_p for low-rise buildings up to three storeys in height	146
6.2	The k - ϵ turbulence model parameters	154
6.3	Typical values for terrain dependent parameters	164
6.4	The summary of the zone properties	176
6.5	The summary of airflow path properties	176

6.6	The geometrical, thermal, and flow boundary conditions for the diffuser and window	178
6.7	The size and capacity of the heat sources	178
7.1	Categories for indoor thermal performance characterization according to EN ISO 7730 (2005)	198
7.2	Comparison of the different comfort classification schemes	205
8.1	Examples of room acoustics performance indicators with recommendations for their values	223
8.2	Perceived speech intelligibility for various ranges of STI-value	225
8.3	Evaluation axes and corresponding bi-polar scales for the evaluation of the acoustical quality of space	231
9.1	Optical properties of common material surfaces	239
9.2	Daylight simulation checklist	256
9.3	Three questions to consider when choosing a daylight simulation tool	257
11.1	Heating coil model thermal resistance parameters	322
11.2	System adjacency matrix (well-formed model)	324
11.3	System adjacency matrix (insoluble model)	325
11.4	System adjacency matrix	329
11.5	Centrifugal fan performance data	336
12.1	Electrical efficiency data for Assignment 2	360
13.1	Initial values of key simulation inputs for Example 1	380
13.2	Initial inputs for DDVAV AHU	383
13.3	Inputs used for the SDCV AHU	383
13.4	Initial error measures for Example 2	384
13.5	Error measures for the calibrated simulation	385
13.6	Calibration statistics of the Sbisa Dining Hall	393
14.1	Examples for different models or types of equations	409
14.2	Summary of black box models	418
14.3	Typical linear black box models for dynamic systems	419
14.4	Summary of the advantages and disadvantages of various model types	422
14.5	Summary of cooling energy savings from optimal MM control	430
14.6	Material properties of individual layers and composite wall	439
16.1	Generalized framework for policy analysis using building performance simulation	477

List of contributors

Godfried Augenbroe

Professor Godfried Augenbroe has a 35-year track record in the modeling and simulation of buildings. First at TU Delft in the Netherlands and since 1997 at the Georgia Institute of Technology in Atlanta, USA.

Charles S. “Chip” Barnaby

Chip Barnaby is Vice President of Research at Wrightsoft Corporation. He focuses on implementation of loads and energy simulation aspects of Wrightsoft software products. He also leads Wrightsoft’s research efforts, working on three ASHRAE projects.

Ian Beausoleil-Morrison

Dr Ian Beausoleil-Morrison is Associate Professor at Carleton University in Ottawa, Canada, where he holds the Canada Research Chair in the Modelling and Simulation of Innovative Energy Systems for Residential Buildings. Currently he is President of the International Building Performance Simulation Association (IBPSA) and co-editor of the Journal of Building Performance Simulation.

Bert Blocken

Bert Blocken is associate professor at the Unit Building Physics and Systems (BPS) at Eindhoven University of Technology in the Netherlands. He earned his PhD in 2004 at the Katholieke Universiteit Leuven, Belgium, with the thesis “Wind-driven rain on buildings – measurements, numerical modelling and applications”.

Jan Carmeliet

Jan Carmeliet has been a full professor at the Chair of Building Physics at ETH Zürich and head of the Laboratory of Building Technology of EMPA, Dübendorf (Swiss Federal Laboratories for Materials Testing and Technology), Switzerland since June 2008. His research interests concern mainly physical processes in multi-scale (porous) materials, poromechanics, particle flow, flow at urban scale, materials for energy technology, computational modelling.

David E. Claridge

David E. Claridge (MS and PhD, Stanford University) is the Leland Jordan Professor of Mechanical Engineering at Texas A&M University and is Director of the Energy Systems Laboratory. He is a fellow of ASME and ASHRAE and recipient of the E.K. Campbell Award from ASHRAE, a Faculty Distinguished Achievement in Research Award from Texas A&M University and has received three Best Paper Awards from ASME.

Drury B. Crawley

Dr Crawley is Director, Building Performance Products at Bentley Systems, Inc. He leads a group developing tools for building performance and sustainability. Previously he led the U.S. Department of Energy’s Commercial Building Initiative with a goal of market-ready net-zero energy commercial buildings by 2025.

Thijs Defraeye

Thijs Defraeye is a PhD student at the Laboratory of Building Physics at the Katholieke Universiteit Leuven, Belgium. His PhD research, which he started in 2006, mainly focuses on numerical modelling of convective heat and moisture transfer at exterior building surfaces due to air flow in the atmospheric boundary layer.

Dominique Derome

Since June 2008, Dominique Derome has been a Senior Scientist and Leader of the Multi-scale modeling group in the Wood Laboratory of EMPA, Swiss Federal Laboratories for Materials Testing and Research. Her research interests include multi-scale modelling of coupled mechanical and hygrothermal behaviour of wood, transport of liquid in wood, determination of air-wood boundary conditions, and large-scale experimental investigation of hygrothermal behavior of building assemblies.

Jan L.M. Hensen

Jan Hensen is full professor in building performance simulation in Eindhoven University of Technology. His teaching and research focuses on development and application of computational modeling and simulation for high performance buildings while considering building physics, indoor environmental quality and building energy systems.

Gregor P. Henze

Gregor P. Henze is professor of architectural engineering at the University of Colorado, holding a PhD in civil engineering as well as the Diplom-Ingenieur and MS in mechanical engineering. He has authored 70 peer-reviewed technical papers and is associate editor for the ASME's *Journal of Solar Energy Engineering*.

Roberto Lamberts

Roberto Lamberts is a full professor in construction at the Department of Civil Engineering of the Federal University of Santa Catarina. He is also currently a board member of the International Building Performance Simulation Association (IBPSA) and vice president of the Brazilian Session, and counselor of the Brazilian Council for Sustainable Buildings.

Ardeshir Mahdavi

Professor Dr Ardeshir Mahdavi is the director of the Department of Building Physics and Building Ecology at the Vienna University of Technology in Austria. In the years 2005, 2006 and 2008, Professor Mahdavi has been consecutively awarded the "Austrian Building Award" in the research projects category.

Christian Neumann

Christian Neumann is one of the co-founders of the engineering company 'solares bauen GmbH', located in Freiburg, Germany (www.solares-bauen.de). Since 2005 Mr Neumann has been working as project engineer in the department of Thermal Systems and Buildings at Fraunhofer Institute for Solar Energy Systems in Freiburg, Germany. His main focus is the design and monitoring of energy efficient buildings.

Christoph Reinhart

Christoph Reinhart is an Associate Professor of Architectural Technology at Harvard University, Graduate School of Design. His research expertise is in daylighting, passive climatization and the influence of occupant behavior on building energy use. He is working on new design workflows and performance metrics that accommodate the complementary use of rules-of-thumb and simulations during building design.

Darren Robinson

A building physicist by training, Dr Robinson has been working for over ten years now in urban energy and environmental modelling. He is currently group leader of sustainable urban development with the Solar Energy and Building Physics Laboratory (LESO) at the Ecole

Polytechnique Fédérale de Lausanne (EPFL) in Switzerland. Darren has published over 50 scientific papers on the subject of urban modelling and was awarded the Napier-Shaw Medal by the CIBSE for one of these.

Jeffrey D. Spitler

Jeffrey Spitler is Regents Professor and C.M. Leonard Professor in the School of Mechanical and Aerospace Engineering at Oklahoma State University, where he teaches classes and performs research in the areas of heat transfer, thermal systems, building simulation, design cooling load calculations, HVAC systems, snow melting systems and ground source heat pump systems.

Jelena Srebric

Dr Srebric is an Associate Professor of Architectural Engineering and an Adjunct Professor of Mechanical and Nuclear Engineering at the Pennsylvania State University. She conducts research and teaches in the field of building energy consumption, air quality, and ventilation methods. She is a recipient of both NSF (National Science Foundation) and NIOSH (National Institute of Occupational Safety and Health) career awards.

Christoph van Treeck

Christoph van Treeck (Dr.-Ing. habil.) is head of the Simulation Group of the Department of Indoor Environment at the Fraunhofer Institute for Building Physics in Germany. He is involved in teaching activities as associate professor at the Technische Universität München. He holds the *venia legendi* for the subject of computational building physics and is a board member of the International Building Performance Simulation Association.

Michael Wetter

Michael Wetter is a computational scientist in the Simulation Research Group at Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory (LBNL). His research includes integrating building performance simulation tools into the research process, as well as the design and operation of buildings. He is a recipient of the IBPSA Outstanding Young Contributor Award, the Vice-President of IBPSA-USA and a member of ASHRAE.

Jonathan Wright

Jonathan Wright is Professor of Building Optimization at Loughborough University in the UK. He has 25 years' research experience in the field of building performance simulation and its application to the optimum design and operation of buildings. He has published widely on the theme of model-based building optimization, and is a member of the IBPSA Board of Directors.. He is currently leader of the Building Services Engineering group at Loughborough University.

Foreword

The fossil fuels are entering their tertiary stage and steps are being taken in many countries to kick-start the transition to an alternative energy infrastructure. A pressing question is how this transition can best be managed, negative impacts mitigated, and the various technology options blended over time: fossil fuel de-carbonisation and sequestration in the short term, the deployment of energy efficiency measures, the switch to new and renewable source of energy, and the removal of barriers confronting new nuclear plant. A key aspect of any future energy infrastructure will be real-time demand management to facilitate the matching of demand with supply – especially where the latter comprises significant inputs from stochastic, distributed renewable energy sources. Because a large portion of a country's energy demand is associated with the built environment, it is here that productive action can be taken to reduce energy consumption whilst ensuring that expectations relating to human comfort/health and environmental protection are met.

The built environment is inherently complex and as a consequence conflicts abound, proffered solutions are often polarised and consensus is difficult to attain. This situation gives rise to three fundamental engineering challenges: how to consider energy systems in a holistic manner in order to address the inherent complexity; how to include environmental and social considerations in the assessment of cost-performance in order to ensure sustainable solutions; and how to embrace inter-disciplinary working in order to derive benefit from the innovative approaches to be found at the interface between the disciplines. In short, energy systems require an integrated approach to design: will the widespread deployment of micro-CHP within the urban environment be acceptable if the global carbon emission reduction to result is attained at the expense of reduced local air quality and increased maintenance cost?

Integrated building performance simulation has emerged as an apt means of addressing the above challenges while allowing collaborating practitioners to identify the action combinations that will be most effective in providing acceptable overall performance as a function of the unique climate, design and operational parameters defining specific buildings and communities, planned or existing. IBPS does this by modelling the heat, air, moisture, light, electricity, pollutant and control signal flows within building/plant systems and, thereby, nurturing performance improvement by design. The benefits of the power and universal applicability of the approach comes at a price however: application requires an understanding of design hypothesis abstraction, computer model building, multiple domain simulation, performance trade-offs, and the translation of outcomes to design evolution.

This book presents the complementary views of distinguished researchers in the field, arranged in a progressive format that covers the myriad issues underpinning the application of modelling and simulation when used to support decision-making relating to building performance and operation. In addition to the wide scope of topics covered, the book provides useful examples of the practical application of building simulation to formulate design and operational solutions that are acceptable in terms of performance criteria relating to indoor air quality, thermal/visual/acoustic comfort, operational/embodied energy, carbon emissions, and capital/running cost. A unique feature of the book is the balance between theory and practice

on the one hand, and between the issues at the individual building and community level on the other. The book is essential reading to those practitioners and researchers who seek to understand and apply building simulation in a professional manner.

Joe Clarke
Energy Systems Research Unit
University of Strathclyde
Glasgow
December 2009

Preface

The rise of technology over the past decades has been something of a mixed blessing. On the one hand it has increased our freedom to move and communicate and has provided us with more comfort. On the other hand, it is widely understood that the energy use currently required to drive our modern way of living has led to critical environmental problems. These problems have been highlighted to such a degree through the explosion of research and news coverage over recent years, that it is now common knowledge that our lifestyle is unsustainable. In modern terminology, to slow down and hopefully reverse the manmade damage, we need to develop a sustainable and zero net energy built environment. This will involve not only the design of net energy producing new 'green' buildings, but also the optimization of energy use of existing buildings.

In line with the rise of technology, buildings and the systems within them have become exponentially more complex in recent times. The modern built environment is populated by a variety of building types with highly demanding performance and user requirements. The difficulties involved in optimizing energy use in buildings have been recognized for quite some time. The complexity of the task arises from the number of variables from a wide range of fields that must be considered. Many professionals and researchers, including ourselves, concluded that solving such a complex problem requires two things: interdisciplinary research involving a wide variety of disciplines, and well-developed technological tools to make the problem manageable.

In 1986 a group of like-minded individuals established the International Building Performance Simulation Association, IBPSA (www.ibpsa.org), a non-profit society of building performance simulation researchers, developers and practitioners dedicated to improving the built environment. IBPSA provides a forum for researchers, developers and practitioners to review building model developments, facilitate evaluation, encourage the use of software programs, address standardization, and accelerate integration and technology transfer.

IBPSA covers broad areas of building environmental and building services engineering. Typical topics include building physics (including heat, air and moisture flow, electric and day lighting, acoustics, smoke transport); heating, ventilation and air-conditioning systems; energy supply systems (including renewable energy systems, thermal storage systems, district heating and cooling, combined heating and power systems); human factors (including health, productivity, thermal comfort, visual comfort, acoustical comfort, indoor air quality); building services; and advancements and developments in modeling and simulation such as coupling with CAD, product modeling, software interoperability, user interface issues, validation and calibration techniques. All these topics may be addressed at different levels of resolution (from microscopic to the urban scale), and for different stages in the building life cycle (from early sketch design, via detailed design to construction, commissioning, operation, control and maintenance) of new and existing buildings worldwide.

In essence, IBPSA has two key objectives: to use computer simulation to (a) provide better support for the design of buildings; and (b) provide better support for building operation and management in the use phase of buildings. These two objectives have informed our own

research over the last decades. This book aims to give the reader a thorough understanding of the recent progress made in building simulation and the key challenges that still need to be overcome.

The main motivation for developing this book is that at the time of writing no comprehensive text book on the subject was available even though building performance simulation has become an essential technology for architectural and engineering design and consultancy practices which aim to provide innovative solutions for their clients.

This book sets out to fill this gap by providing unique insight into the techniques of building performance modelling and simulation and their application to performance-based design and operation of buildings and the systems which service them. It provides readers with the essential concepts of computational support of performance based design and operation – all in one book. It provides examples of how to use building simulation techniques for practical design, management and operation, and highlights their limitations and suggests future research directions.

This book provides a comprehensive overview of building performance simulation for the complete building life-cycle from conception to demolition. It addresses theory, development, quality assurance and use in practice of building performance simulation. The book is therefore both theoretical and practical, and as such will be of interest to those concerned with modelling issues (universities, research organizations and government agencies) and real world applications (architects, engineers, control bodies, building operators). The book is primarily intended for (future) building and systems designers and operators of a postgraduate level. However, due to the interdisciplinary nature of research into the built environment, the book should also prove useful for a variety of connected fields.

The interdisciplinary nature of the research becomes clear when it is understood that building performance simulation draws its underlying theories from many disciplines including: physics; mathematics; material science; biophysics; human behavioral, environmental and computational sciences. The book would lend itself to adaption for multidisciplinary courses, for example AEC related university courses which address building performance prediction and operational issues. Other courses might include it on their recommended reading list, especially at the postgraduate level.

The book begins by introducing and describing the key features of building performance simulation and sets the scene for the rest of the book. The concepts of performance indicators and targets are discussed, followed by a discussion of the current and future role of building simulation in performance based building design and operation. This will lay the foundations for in-depth discussions of performance prediction for key aspects such as energy demand, indoor environmental quality (including thermal, visual, indoor air quality and moisture phenomena), HVAC and renewable system performance, urban level modelling, building operational optimization and automation. The book ends with a discussion of future directions for building performance simulation research and applications in practice. The book aims to show that when used appropriately, building performance simulation is a very powerful technique capable of helping us achieve a sustainable built environment, and at the same time improving indoor quality and productivity, as well as stimulating future innovation and technological progress in the architecture, engineering and construction (AEC) industry.

We believe this book to be long overdue. We have been contemplating the idea of writing it for many years. However, due to the interdisciplinary nature of the subject, writing such a book required the cooperation of many individuals. Toward the end of 2006 the idea became more concrete, and in early 2007 the co-authors and the publisher enthusiastically joined the adventure. Despite our busy schedules, in 2008 a symposium was organized in Brazil to bring co-authors together and allow them to present the content of their chapters. We would like to thank Eletrobrás and Conselho Nacional de Desenvolvimento Científico e Tecnológico (CNPq) for their financial support of this event. The event proved to be an important catalyst that allowed the book to progress to its finished state, even if it took a fair bit longer to finish

the book than originally anticipated. In the end, we are really very pleased with the results, and hope you will enjoy reading the book too.

This book is the result of cooperation and dedication of many individuals, in particular of course all co-authors. We would like to take the opportunity to also acknowledge the support of our universities: Eindhoven University of Technology, The Netherlands, and Universidade Federal de Santa Catarina, Brazil. Last but not least, we wish to express our gratitude to Duncan Harkness, Roel Loonen, Ana Paula Melo, Martin Ordenes Mizgier, Jikke Reinten and Marija Trcka for their editorial and practical support.

Jan Hensen, Roberto Lamberts, March 2010